

Ganoderma lingzhi (Polyporales, Basidiomycota): the scientific binomial for the widely cultivated medicinal fungus Lingzhi

Yu-Cheng Dai¹ · Li-Wei Zhou² · Tsutomu Hattori³ · Yun Cao⁴ · Joost A. Stalpers⁵ · Leif Ryvarden⁶ · Peter Buchanan⁷ · Franz Oberwinkler⁸ · Nils Hallenberg⁹ · Pei-Gui Liu¹⁰ · Sheng-Hua Wu¹¹

Received: 4 July 2017 / Revised: 30 September 2017 / Accepted: 3 October 2017
© German Mycological Society and Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany 2017

Abstract The traditional medicinal fungus, Lingzhi, has long been considered to be *Ganoderma lucidum*, but was recently described as *G. lingzhi* based on both morphological and molecular data. Months earlier than this description, the species was identified as *G. sichuanense* by another research group. A sequenced epitype for *G. sichuanense* was selected that, if accepted, meant that it became a synonym of, and an earlier name for, *G. lingzhi*. However, the holotype of *G. sichuanense* is well developed with distinct morphological characters, and its ITS sequence, the DNA barcode for fungal taxonomy, is available. The holotype of *G. sichuanense* does not possess the typical morphological characteristics of Lingzhi, and the designated epitype is not conspecific with the holotype from morphological, phylogenetic and ecological perspectives. Consequently, the designation of the epitype is considered to conflict with the regulations of the Code and should be rejected. As no other previously published species name

matches Lingzhi, the correct name for this fungus is *G. lingzhi*.

Keywords Epitypification · *Ganoderma sichuanense* · Nomenclature · Polypores

Introduction

Lingzhi, an economically important wood-decay polypore fungus, has been used in traditional Chinese medicine for more than 2000 years (Anonymous 1955). The successful production of Lingzhi fruitbodies in 1969 (Yu and Shen 2003) led to widespread cultivation in China and adjacent countries, stimulating scientific research on the identity and function of the medicinal metabolites. These metabolites include polysaccharides, triterpenes, lucidenic acids, adeno-

Yu-Cheng Dai and Li-Wei Zhou contributed equally to this work.

Section Editor: Marc Stadler

✉ Yu-Cheng Dai
yuchengd@yahoo.com

✉ Sheng-Hua Wu
shwu@mail.nmns.edu.tw

¹ Institute of Microbiology, Beijing Forestry University, PO Box 61, Beijing 100083, China

² Institute of Applied Ecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenyang 110016, China

³ Forestry and Forest Products Research Institute, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8687, Japan

⁴ Nanjing Institute of Environmental Sciences, Ministry of Environmental Protection, Nanjing 210042, China

⁵ Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute, Uppsalalaan 8, NL-3584 CT Utrecht, The Netherlands

⁶ Institute of Biological Sciences, University of Oslo, P. O. Box 1066, Blindern, N-0316 Oslo, Norway

⁷ Landcare Research, Private Bag 92170, Auckland 1142, New Zealand

⁸ Botanical Institute, University of Tübingen, Auf der Morgenstelle 1, D-72076 Tübingen, Germany

⁹ Botanical Institute, University of Gothenburg, Box 461, S-405 30 Gothenburg, Sweden

¹⁰ Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650204, China

¹¹ Department of Biology, National Museum of Natural Science, Taichung 404, Taiwan

sines, ergosterols, glucosamines and cerebrosides with medicinal functions in the prevention and treatment of diseases such as cancers, chronic bronchitis, diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia and hypertension, as well as improvement of immunity and anti-aging (Lin 2009). The products containing Lingzhi have an estimated annual value of US\$2.5 billion in global trade (Li et al. 2013). Besides the economic importance and health benefits, cultivation and utilization of Lingzhi is also considered an essential part of Chinese traditional culture.

Nomenclatural history of Lingzhi

The first possible name applied to Lingzhi (Mannen-take or Reishi in Japanese) in East Asia was *Boletus dimidiatus* Thunb. based on specimens collected on the Japanese mainland around Tokyo and Nagasaki (Thunberg 1784). Fries (1838) renamed this *Polyporus japonicus* Fr. Because this name is not sanctioned, it is illegitimate because there was no obstacle to recombining Thunberg's epithet *dimidiatus* into *Polyporus*, and Fries explicitly refers to Thunberg's name. Later, Yasuda (1913) suggested that *Fomes japonicus* (Fr.) Sacc. (= *P. japonicus*) recorded from Japan actually represents *F. lucidus* (Curtis) Sacc. [= *Polyporus lucidus* (Curtis) Fr.]. Imazeki (1939) made intensive studies of specimens of the *Ganoderma lucidum* (Curtis) P. Karst. complex collected in Japan, and concluded that specimens of "*G. lucidum* (Curtis) P. Karst." recorded after Yasuda (1913) included *G. lucidum* s.s., *G. neojaponicum* Imazeki and *G. curtisii* (Berk.) Murrill. Imazeki considered that Mannen-take represented *G. lucidum*, while specimens with almost black pilei and restricted to conifers were *G. neojaponicum*.

Likewise, for Chinese mycota, Patouillard (1907) identified Chinese Lingzhi collections from Guizhou Province as *Ganoderma lucidum* (Moncalvo and Ryvarden 1997). While *G. lucidum* was then broadly defined, more recently many species have been differentiated from the original concept. However, the name *G. lucidum* has been widely used for Lingzhi in later and even recent publications including studies of medicinal metabolites and functions (Kino et al. 1989; Bao et al. 2002; Sliva et al. 2002). Detailed morphological examinations (Pegler and Yao 1996) and phylogenetic analyses (Moncalvo et al. 1995; Smith and Sivasithamparam 2000; Hong and Jung 2004) have demonstrated that the application of the name *G. lucidum* for Lingzhi is no longer correct (Szedlay 2002; Wasser 2011). However, the nomenclatural consequences of these taxonomic results have been hotly debated.

Cao et al. (2012) sampled both natural and cultivated Lingzhi specimens from China and Japan as well as specimens of *Ganoderma* species resembling Lingzhi, including *G. curtisii* (Berk.) Murrill, *G. flexipes* Pat., *G. lucidum*, *G. multipileum* Ding Hou, *G. sichuanense* J.D. Zhao & X.Q.

Zhang and *G. tropicum* (Jungh.) Bres., and performed a phylogenetic analysis including a sequence from the holotype of *G. sichuanense*. They demonstrated that Lingzhi represented an independent lineage. Moreover, distinct morphological differences between *G. lingzhi* and these species were documented in Cao et al. (2012). Therefore, a new species, *Ganoderma lingzhi* Sheng H. Wu, Y. Cao & Y.C. Dai, was introduced for Lingzhi, a species with a wide natural distribution in temperate East Asia.

Months earlier than the description of *Ganoderma lingzhi*, Wang et al. (2012) proposed *Ganoderma sichuanense* as the correct name of Lingzhi. *Ganoderma sichuanense* was originally described based on two collections from Panzhihua Iron and Steel (Group) Company Limited in Dukou City (former name of Panzhihua City), southern Sichuan Province, southwestern China (Zhao et al. 1983). Wang et al. (2012) concluded that the two collections represented different species: the paratype (HMAS 43728, with basidiospore dimensions 8–8.5 × 6–6.5 μm) was identified as *Ganoderma weberianum* (Bres. & Henn. ex Sacc.) Steyaert, while the holotype (HMAS 42798) was considered to be conspecific with Lingzhi. However, Wang et al. (2012) could not obtain any DNA sequence from the holotype of *G. sichuanense*. Instead, for DNA analyses, they used four recently collected samples from Sichuan (HMAS 251145–251,148) that they considered to be morphologically similar, from an area close to but distinct from the type locality. These samples were considered by Wang et al. (2012) to be Lingzhi and to match *G. sichuanense*. To stabilize this concept, Yao et al. (2013) subsequently designated a sample recently collected from Huangqiao Village, Miyi County, Panzhihua, Sichuan Province (HMAS 252081) and bearing an ITS sequence identical to that of Lingzhi, as the epitype of *G. sichuanense*. They justified this with the argument that DNA in the holotype of *G. sichuanense* had not been well preserved. This action, were it to be accepted, meant that *G. lingzhi* became a later synonym of *G. sichuanense*.

Evidence to support *Ganoderma lingzhi* as the correct scientific binomial for Lingzhi

As stated by Zhou et al. (2015), the epitypification of *G. sichuanense* does not comply with the International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants (Melbourne Code). Art. 9.8 defines an epitype as "an interpretative type" selected when the holotype or other original material "is demonstrably ambiguous and cannot be critically identified for purposes of the precise application of the name to a taxon".

The holotype of *G. sichuanense* is well developed with clear morphological characters and its ITS region, the DNA barcode for fungal taxonomy, was successfully sequenced by Cao et al. (2012). The failure of Wang et al. (2012) and Yao

et al. (2013) to amplify any sequence from the holotype was, in their statement, because of “the specimen’s condition”. However, a failed PCR can have more causes than damage of the DNA, so the interpretations of Yao et al. (2013) are vulnerable.

Furthermore, the epitype of *G. sichuanense* was inappropriately selected and could not be interpreted with certainty as conspecific with the holotype, because these collections differ in at least four aspects:

- a) According to phylogenetic analysis, the holotype (GenBank accession JQ781877, as sequenced by Cao et al. 2012) and the epitype (KC662402, as sequenced by Yao et al. 2013) occur in distinctly separated lineages (Yao et al. 2013). The two sequences are not identical and in our examination showed a difference of more than 8%.
- b) The basidiospore dimensions of the epitype [(9.0–)9.5–10.5(–11.0) × 6.5–8.0 μm] in Yao et al. (2013) are much larger than those of the holotype of *G. sichuanense* [(7–)7.4–9.2(–9.3) × (4.6–)5–6.6(–6.8)] in Cao et al. (2012; Table 2). Yao et al. (2013) stated that their measurements were from at least 30 basidiospores, and the quoted measurements from Cao et al. (2012) were also based on 30 basidiospores. Yao et al. (2013), following Wang et al. (2012), maintained that basidiospores from the holotype of *G. sichuanense* are 9–11.5 × 6.5–8 μm, and explained the discrepancy in basidiospore dimensions by stating that Cao et al. (2012) also included measurements from the paratype of *G. sichuanense* that had smaller basidiospores than the holotype. However, Cao et al. (2012) only borrowed the holotype, not the paratype, to study. Moreover, Cao et al. (2012)’s measurements of the holotype are consistent with the basidiospore dimensions (7.4–9.5 × 5–7 μm) mentioned in the original publication on *G. sichuanense* by Zhao et al. (1983), where the four illustrated basidiospores from the holotype are all shorter than 10 μm. Hence, there is no evidence of confusion of basidiospore size between the holotype and paratype.
- c) Macromorphologically, the holotype has a much darker pore surface than the epitype (see photographs in Cao et al. 2012; Yao et al. 2013).
- d) The ecological environment of the epitype is distinctly different from that of the holotype of *G. sichuanense*: 80 km distant in a straight line and, more importantly, at an altitude of nearly 1000 m above that of the holotype. The epitype from Miyi occurred in a humid and temperate forest at an altitude of 1933 m as mentioned by Yao et al. (2013), representing a temperate species like *Lingzhi*, while the locality of the holotype of *G. sichuanense* (Dukou) was found at an altitude of 985 m with dry and nearly tropical vegetation (indicated by mango cultivation on the basis of our observation in this area). Another

specimen of *G. sichuanense* (Cui 7691), with an identical ITS sequence to that of the holotype, was also collected from the subtropical to tropical zone in Guangdong (Cao et al. 2012). Yao et al. (2013) considered the sequence from the holotype “unusual” without further explanation. If this term was to indicate artifacts of DNA preparation, the 100% identity with the sequence from Cui 7691 is hardly explicable.

It is evident that the so-called epitype of *G. sichuanense* is different from the holotype of *G. sichuanense*, according to the above-mentioned phylogenetic, morphological and ecological perspectives. This means that the epitype represents *G. lingzhi*, but not *G. sichuanense*. The intention of Yao et al. (2013) in proposing the epitype actually serves to replace the holotype rather than add to it. Richter et al. (2015) agreed with Yao et al. (2013)’s opinion, but did not provide any evidence or new data to support this epitype.

Other potential synonyms

Like *Ganoderma sichuanense*, several other previously described Chinese species of *Ganoderma*, such as *G. atrum* J.D. Zhao, L.W. Hsu & X.Q. Zhang, *G. calidophilum* J.D. Zhao, L.W. Hsu & X.Q. Zhang, *G. chenghaiense* J.D. Zhao, *G. hainanense* J.D. Zhao, L.W. Hsu & X.Q. Zhang, *G. parviungulatum* J.D. Zhao & X.Q. Zhang and *G. simaoense* J.D. Zhao, are more or less morphologically similar to *G. lingzhi* (Zhao et al. 1979, 1986; Zhao 1987, 1989). However, Cao et al. (2012) clearly concluded these species are not conspecific with *G. lingzhi* by type studies. Moreover, in the absence of molecular sequences from the holotypes of these species, their species circumscriptions are not fully clear and it is not possible to use any of these names for *Lingzhi* (Ryvarden 1995).

Considering *Boletus dimidiatus* and *Polyporus japonicus*, the original descriptions and drawings suggest that they represent a member of the *G. lucidum* complex. The name *Ganoderma japonicum* (Fr.) Sawada (= *P. japonicus*) has often been applied to a Chinese species with a dark pileus and distribution in subtropical areas (e.g. Teng 1964). However, it is most likely a misapplication, because specimens of *G. japonicum* from China and Japan are not conspecific. The existing sequences of *G. japonicum* were obtained from Chinese specimens (e.g., Wang and Yao 2005). While they differ from those of *Lingzhi*, this is not a valid reason to conclude that *G. japonicum* is distinct from *Lingzhi*. However, the authentic material of *B. dimidiatus* and *P. japonicus* deposited at the Museum of Evolution Herbarium (UPS: BOT: F-118347) was not available for loan and exists as drawings provided by Thunberg (1784), and we

conclude that the rejection of the name *Boletus dimidiatus* is better in order to stabilize the scientific binomial for Lingzhi.

Conclusion

Ganoderma lingzhi is a temperate species widely distributed mainly in Fagaceae forests of East Asia. Using both morphological and phylogenetic evidence, this name unambiguously represents the economically important and widely cultivated Lingzhi species. In 2013, *G. lingzhi* was nominated as the fungus of the year in *Mycology*, an international journal issued by the Mycological Society of China (Yang and Feng 2013). Moreover, in addition to taxonomic mycologists (Hawksworth 2013; Li et al. 2015a, 2015b; Hennie et al. 2016), the name *G. lingzhi* has also been accepted instead of *G. lucidum* by mycological chemists (Fatmawati et al. 2013; Gao et al. 2014; Li et al. 2014; Chen et al. 2015; Yan et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2017), while to the best of our knowledge the name *G. sichuanense* has never been applied to these kinds of chemical studies.

Ganoderma sichuanense is a subtropical-tropical species from South China, and bears morphological features distinct from those of *G. lingzhi* (Cao et al. 2012), whereas the so-called epitype of *G. sichuanense* designated by Yao et al. (2013) represents *G. lingzhi* and is inadequate as an epitype. In order to stop the widespread misapplication of epitypification in fungi, Ariyawansa et al. (2014) proposed strict criteria for meaningful epitypification, such as multilocus-based phylogenetic analyses, which are not met in the epitypification of Yao et al. (2013). Wang et al. (2012) and Cao et al. (2012) presented different basidiospore measurements from the holotype of *G. sichuanense*, and the latter corresponds with the protologue. The single available DNA sequence from this specimen clusters in a clade comprising *G. weberianum* and *G. sichuanense*. The present inconsistent findings indicate that the species concept of *G. sichuanense* is ambiguous. There is urgent need to stabilize nomenclature for the economically important Lingzhi species. Currently, the only unambiguous name for the widely cultivated Lingzhi is *G. lingzhi*. A formal proposal to conserve *Ganoderma lingzhi* over *G. sichuanense* and *Boletus dimidiatus* will be prepared for solving the scientific binomial for the widely cultivated medicinal fungus Lingzhi thoroughly.

Acknowledgement LWZ is grateful to the Youth Innovation Promotion Association CAS (No. 2017240) for financial support.

References

- Anonymous (1955) Shen Nong materia medica, 102-200 A.D. In: Han E (ed) Reprinted. People's Hygiene Press, Beijing (in Chinese)
- Ariyawansa HA, Hawksworth DL, Hyde KD et al (2014) Epitypification and neotypification: guidelines with appropriate and inappropriate examples. *Fungal Divers* 69:57–91
- Bao XF, Wang XS, Dong Q, Fang JN, Li XY (2002) Structural features of immunologically active polysaccharides from *Ganoderma lucidum*. *Phytochemistry* 59:175–181
- Cao Y, Wu SH, Dai YC (2012) Species clarification of the prize medicinal *Ganoderma* mushroom “Lingzhi”. *Fungal Divers* 56:49–62
- Chen H, Yang HL, Liu GQ (2015) Biosynthesis and fermentation control of triterpenoids from *Ganoderma lingzhi*. *Mycosystema* 34:1–9 (in Chinese)
- Fatmawati S, Kondo R, Shimizu K (2013) Structure–activity relationships of lanostane-type triterpenoids from *Ganoderma lingzhi* as α -glucosidase inhibitors. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 23:5900–5903
- Fries E (1838) *Epicrisis systematis mycologici seu synopsis Hymenomycetum*. Typographia Academica, Uppsala
- Gao YJ, Yuan B, Yang WJ, Fang Y, Ma N, Hu QH (2014) Preparation of *Ganoderma lingzhi* spore oil microcapsules. *Mycosystema* 33:483–492 (in Chinese)
- Hawksworth DL (2013) What is the Chinese Lingzhi *Ganoderma*? *IMA Fungus* 4(2):47
- Hennie F, Cheikh-Ali Z, Liebisch T, Maciá-Vicente JG, Bode HB, Piepenbring M (2016) Distinguishing commercially grown *Ganoderma lucidum* from *Ganoderma lingzhi* from Europe and East Asia on the basis of morphology, molecular phylogeny, and triterpenic acid profiles. *Phytochemistry* 127:29–37
- Hong SG, Jung HS (2004) Phylogenetic analysis of *Ganoderma* based on nearly complete mitochondrial small-subunit ribosomal DNA sequences. *Mycologia* 96:742–755
- Imazeki R (1939) Studies on *Ganoderma* of Nippon. *Bull Tokyo Sci Mus* 1:29–52 (in Japanese)
- Kino K, Yamashita A, Yamaoka K, Watanabe J, Tanaka S, Ko K, Shimizu K, Tsunoo H (1989) Isolation and characterization of a new immunomodulatory protein, ling zhi-8 (LZ-8), from *Ganoderma lucidum*. *J Biol Chem* 264:472–478
- Li J, Zhang J, Chen H, Chen XQ, Lan L, Liu C (2013) Complete mitochondrial genome of the medicinal mushroom *Ganoderma lucidum*. *PLoS ONE* 8:e72038
- Li KK, Zhang CM, Gu HD, Jin Y, Qu JJ (2014) Optimization of *Ganoderma lingzhi* S3 fermentation medium and inhibition of the fermented liquid against *Fusarium oxysporum*. *Mycosystema* 33:401–410 (in Chinese)
- Li TH, Hu HP, Deng WQ, Wu SH, Wang DM, Tsering T (2015a) *Ganoderma leucocontextum*, a new member of the *G. lucidum* complex from southwestern China. *Mycoscience* 56:81–85
- Li Y, Li TH, Yang ZL, Bau T, Dai YC (2015b) Atlas of Chinese macrofungal resources. Central China Farmer's Publishing House, Zhengzhou
- Lin ZB (2009) Lingzhi: from mystery to science. Peking University Medical Press, Beijing
- Moncalvo JM, Ryvarden L (1997) A nomenclatural study of the *Ganodermataceae* Donk. *Synopsis Fungorum* 11:1–114
- Moncalvo JM, Wang HF, Hseu RS (1995) Gene phylogeny of the *Ganoderma lucidum* complex based on ribosomal DNA sequences. Comparison with traditional taxonomic characters. *Mycol Res* 99:1489–1499
- Patouillard N (1907) Champignons du Kouy-tcheou. *Monde de Pl Serii* 9:31
- Pegler DN, Yao YJ (1996) Oriental species of *Ganoderma* section *Ganoderma*. In: Wasser SP (ed) Botany and mycology for the next millennium: collection of scientific articles devoted to the 70th Anniversary of Academician Sytnik KM. Kholodny NG Institute of Botany, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv, pp 336–347
- Richter C, Wittstein K, Kirk PM, Stadler M (2015) An assessment of the taxonomy and chemotaxonomy of *Ganoderma*. *Fungal Divers* 71:1–15

- Ryvarden L (1995) Can we trust morphology in *Ganoderma*? In: Buchanan PK, Hseu RS, Moncalvo JM (eds) *Ganoderma: systematics, phytopathology and pharmacology*. Proceedings of contributed symposium 59A, B. 5th international mycological congress, Vancouver, August 14–21, vol 1994. National Taiwan University, Taipei, pp 19–24
- Sliva D, Labarrere C, Slivova V, Sedlak M, Lloyd FP Jr, Ho NWY (2002) *Ganoderma lucidum* suppresses motility of highly invasive breast and prostate cancer cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 298:603–612
- Smith BJ, Sivasithamparan K (2000) Internal transcribed spacer ribosomal DNA sequence of five species of *Ganoderma* from Australia. *Mycol Res* 104:943–951
- Szedlay G (2002) Is the widely used medicinal fungus the *Ganoderma lucidum* (Fr.) Karst. sensu stricto? (A short review). *Acta Microbiol Immunol Hung* 49:235–243
- Teng SC (1964) *Fungi of China*. Academia Sinica, Beijing (in Chinese)
- Thunberg CP (1784) *Flora Japonica*. Reprinted. Oriole, New York
- Wang DM, Yao YJ (2005) Intrastrain internal transcribed spacer heterogeneity in *Ganoderma* species. *Can J Microbiol* 51:113–121
- Wang XC, Xi RJ, Li Y, Wang DM, Yao YJ (2012) The species identity of the widely cultivated *Ganoderma*, ‘*G. lucidum*’ (Ling-zhi), in China. *PLoS ONE* 7:e40857
- Wang XL, Ding ZY, Liu GQ, Yang H, Zhou GY (2016) Improved production and antitumor properties of triterpene acids from submerged culture of *Ganoderma lingzhi*. *Molecules* 21:1395
- Wasser SP (2011) Current findings, future trends, and unsolved problems in studies of medicinal mushrooms. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 89:1323–1332
- Yan YM, Wang XL, Luo Q, Jiang LP, Yang CP, Hou B, Zuo ZL, Chen YB, Cheng YX (2015) Metabolites from the mushroom *Ganoderma lingzhi* as stimulators of neural stem cell proliferation. *Phytochemistry* 114:155–162
- Yang ZL, Feng B (2013) What is the Chinese “Lingzhi”? – a taxonomic minireview. *Mycology* 4:1–4
- Yao YJ, Wang XC, Wang B (2013) Epitypification of *Ganoderma sichuanense* J.D. Zhao & X.Q. Zhang (*Ganodermataceae*). *Taxon* 62:1025–1031
- Yasuda A (1913) Kinrui-Zakki 17. *Bot Mag Tokyo* 27:23–24 (in Japanese)
- Yu YN, Shen MZ (2003) The history of Lingzhi (*Ganoderma* spp.) cultivation. *Mycosystema* 22(Supp 1):3–9 (in Chinese)
- Zhang DH, Li N, Yu X, Zhao P, Li T, Xu JW (2017) Overexpression of the homologous lanosterol synthase gene in ganoderic acid biosynthesis in *Ganoderma lingzhi*. *Phytochemistry* 134:46–53
- Zhao JD (1987) Studies on the taxonomy of *Ganodermataceae* in China 10. Subgen. *Ganoderma* sect. *Phaeonema*. *Acta Mycol Sin* 7:205–211
- Zhao JD (1989) Studies on the taxonomy of *Ganodermataceae* in China 11. Subgen. *Ganoderma* sect. *Ganoderma*. *Acta Mycol Sin* 8:25–34 (in Chinese)
- Zhao JD, Xu LW, Zhang XQ (1979) Taxonomic studies on the subfamily *Ganodermoideae* of China. *Acta Microbiol Sin* 19:265–279 (in Chinese)
- Zhao JD, Xu LW, Zhang XQ (1983) Taxonomic studies on the family *Ganodermataceae* of China 2. *Acta Mycol Sin* 2:159–167
- Zhao JD, Zhang XQ, Xu LW (1986) Studies on the taxonomy of *Ganodermataceae* in China 4. *Acta Mycol Sin* 5:86–93 (in Chinese)
- Zhou LW, Cao Y, Wu SH, Vlasák J, Li DW, Li MJ, Dai YC (2015) Global diversity of the *Ganoderma lucidum* complex (*Ganodermataceae*, *Polyporales*) inferred from morphology and multilocus phylogeny. *Phytochemistry* 114:7–15